IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1223 OF 2006

Bansnarayan Sitaprasad Patel.] .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The Slum Rehabilitation Authority & 5 ors.] .. Respondents

Mr. V.R. Tripathi for the petitioner.

Mr. G.D. Uttangale for respondent No.1.

Mrs. S. Ajit Kumar for respondent No.2.

Mr. R.Y. Gaonkar i/b B.R. Patil for respondent No.5.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.

DATED: 03RD MAY, 2006

P.C. :

. It is a case of the petitioner that his name was originally included in Annexure II, but without giving him notice, his name has been excluded and the name of his brother-in-law has been included. It is submitted that he has made a representation to the BMC and the same has not been considered. He has also preferred an Appeal before the SRA which also has not been considered. We direct the respondents No.1 and 2 to consider the representation/Appeal pending before

them, filed by the petitioner herein, and to dispose of the same according to law at any rate not later than eight weeks from today. If the claim of the petitioner is upheld, he will be entitled to transit accommodation.

2. With the above directions, Writ Petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
[F.I. REBELLO, J.]

Sd/-
[ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.]